

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)						
applicant's or agent's file reference 122967x292	FOR FURTHER See Notification of Transmittal of International Pre ACTION Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).					
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)	Priority Date (day/month/year) -				
PCT/NZ2003/000262	27 November 2003	28 November 2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification an	d IPC				
Int. Cl. 7 G06F 17/30						
Applicant BYTALUS LIMITED et al						
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have be amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). 						
These annexes consist of a total						
3. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following items:					
I X Basis of the report						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to nov	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inven	ition					
V X Reasoned statement un citations and explanati	V X Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI X Certain documents cite	ed					
VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations of	on the international applica	nion				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of the report				
21 September 2004		13 January 2005				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer				
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTR	24114					
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	i	J.W. THOMSON				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Cover sheet) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL LIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application	No
PCT/N72003/000262	

I.	Basis of the report
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*
	X the international application as originally filed.
	the description, pages, as originally filed,
	pages , filed with the demand,
	pages, received on with the letter of
	the claims, pages, as originally filed,
	pages , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,
	pages , filed with the demand,
	pages, received on with the letter of
	the drawings, pages, as originally filed,
	pages, filed with the demand,
	pages, received on with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description:
	pages , as originally filed
	pages , filed with the demand
	pages, received on with the letter of
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
	contained in the international application in written form.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/fig.
5.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
•	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).
**	Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item I and annexed to this report

Form PCT/IPBA/409 (Box I) (July 1998)



International application No. PCT/NZ2003/000262

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

٠.	Statement	ment					
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1 to 2	.6 YES				
		Claims	NO				
	Inventive step (1S)	Claims 1 to	26 YES	۰.			
		Claims	МО				
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 to	26 YES				
		Claims	NO	·			

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Citations

- D1 US 2002/0078034 A1 (CHO et al) 20 June 2002
- D2 GB 2388450 A (HEWLETT PACKARD) 12 November 2003
- D3 WO 2001/027816 A1 (BEA SYSTEMS) 19 April 2001
- D4 WO 2000/008568 A1 (DRYKEN TECHNOLOGIES) 17 February 2000

D2 to D4 are deemed representative of the state of the art, cited 'A' in the ISR.

Novelty (N) of Claims 1 to 26

Claims 1 to 26 are novel when compared to prior art documents D1 to D4 as none of these disclose all of the essential features of the claimed invention.

For instance D1 (see figure 1 and paragraph 10) does not teach an assessment by the query response software of whether the delivered information can be successfully employed by the user. This distinguishes the claimed invention from systems which rely upon user input to determine whether the delivered information is useful. This assessment of relevance inherently involves integers which cannot be implied from the citations.

Inventive Step (IS) of Claims 1 to 26

Claims 1 to 26 contain an inventive step when compared to D1 to D4 in the light of common general knowledge for similar reasons as above.

Industrial Applicability (IA) of Claims 1 to 26

The claimed invention has industrial applicability in the field of information technology.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box V) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL - RELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/NZ2003/000262

The second secon	(Rule 70.10)		•
Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid cla (day/month/year)
P, A GB 2388450	12 November 2003	29 April 2003	8 May 2002
This citation is mentioned in Bo	ox V		
Non-written disclosures (Rule Kind of non-written disclosu			e of written disclosure referring non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
2. Non-written disclosures (Rule Kind of non-written disclosu	re Date of non-wr		e of written disclosure referri non-written disclosure (day/month/year)
	re Date of non-wr		non-written disclosure
	re Date of non-wr		non-written disclosure
	re Date of non-wr		non-written disclosure
	re Date of non-wr		non-written disclosure
	re Date of non-wr		non-written disclosure
	re Date of non-wr	nth/year)	non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

Form PCT/IPBA/409 (Box VI) (July 1998)

INTERNATIONAL RELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/NZ2003/000262

VIII.	Certain	obser	vations	011	the	international	iao	plication

The following observations on the claims of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1. Claims 1 to 26.

The claims are unclear as to what 'identity information' actually identifies. For instance it is not clear whether it identifies a user, a particular query or something else.

2. Claim 21 is unclear.

The claim is for a 'method of information retrieved adapted to execute the steps [...]' which makes the noun ambiguous. Perhaps this should be a 'method of information retrieval'

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box VIII) (July 1998)